



PATENT  
Customer No. 22,852  
Attorney Docket No. 04329.3176

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: )  
)  
Toshikazu MORISAWA ) Group Art Unit: 2115  
)  
Application No.: 10/705,835 ) Examiner: Mark A. Connolly  
)  
Filed: November 13, 2003 )  
)  
For: ELECTRONIC APPARATUS AND ) Confirmation No.: 7845  
METHOD OF SETTING AN )  
OPERATION MODE OF THE SAME )

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c)**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56 and 1.97(c), Applicant brings to the attention of the Examiner the documents on the attached listing. This Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) is being filed after the events recited in Section 1.97(b) but, to the undersigned's knowledge, before the mailing date of either a Final action, Quayle action, or a Notice of Allowance. Under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c), this IDS includes a statement as specified by Section 1.97(e).

A copy of the listed foreign patent document is attached. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner consider the listed documents and indicate that they were considered by making appropriate notations on the attached form.

The foreign patent document listed in this IDS was first cited in a Decision of Rejection ("Decision") mailed January 22, 2008, from the Japanese Patent Office in a

counterpart Japanese application, and this IDS is being filed within three months of the mailing date of that communication. A copy of the Decision is also enclosed. Applicant does not endorse the conclusion set forth in the Decision.

In lieu of a statement of relevance or translation of the non-English document, an English language version of the Decision of Rejection citing the document and setting forth the relevance thereof is enclosed. An English Abstract of the non-English document is also included.

This submission does not represent that a search has been made or that no better art exists and does not constitute an admission that each or all of the listed documents are material or constitute "prior art." If the Examiner applies any of the documents as prior art against any claims in the application and Applicant determines that the cited documents do not constitute "prior art" under United States law, Applicant reserves the right to present to the office the relevant facts and law regarding the appropriate status of such documents.

Applicant further reserves the right to take appropriate action to establish the patentability of the disclosed invention over the listed documents, should one or more of the documents be applied against the claims of the present application.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Statement, please charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: March 10, 2008

By:   
Wenye Tan / Reg. No. 55,662